

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,579	10/17/2003	Alan K. Forsythe	GTDV120953	2274
26389 75	7590 06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			JACKSON, ANDRE L	
SUITE 2800	VENOE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA	SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347		3677	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/688,579	FORSYTHE, ALAN K.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Andre' L. Jackson	3677		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .			
•—	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
A44 1					
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Information	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 10/688,579

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,709,188 to Ushimaru. Ushimaru (Figs. 4 and 5) discloses a knob unit comprising;

a control device (1); an actuation member (20) coupled to the control device and having a first projection (22) with a first engagement surface (22a) and a second projection (22) with a second engagement surface (22a); and a gripping device (25) having a first protrusion (26) with a first interference surface (26a) and a second protrusion (26) with a second interference surface (26a) thereof, wherein the gripping device is adapted to be selectively keyed upon the actuation member in a first orientation or a second orientation by selective interaction of the first projection with the first protrusion or the second projection with the second protrusion, and wherein the gripping device is selectively coupled to the actuation member and is positionable between a locked position, wherein the gripping device is coupled to the actuation member by interference of the first engagement surface with the first interference surface and the second engagement surface with the second interference surface, and an unlocked position, wherein the gripping device is selectively removable from the actuation member.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,579

Art Unit: 3677

As to claims 5, 6, 10, 16, 17, 21, 28 and 31, Ushimaru discloses that the knob unit further comprising a plurality of locking members (21c) coupled to the actuation member, each locking member positioned to engage and press against the first and second projections respectively to aid in holding the gripping device in a locked position.

As to claims 7, 18 and 29, Ushimaru discloses sidewall surfaces (L-shaped section) formed at the protrusions of the gripping device, the sidewall surfaces adapted to engage the locking members when the gripping device is in an unlocked position. The sidewall surfaces include an inclined relative to a plane passing through a center axis of the actuation member.

As to claims 8, 11, 19, 22 and 32, Ushimaru discloses a limit stop (24) and an additional limit stop (24a) formed integrally with the actuation member, the first limit stop positioned to engage a first protrusion (27) and the additional limit stop positioned to engage a second protrusion (28) respectively disposed on the gripping device to aid in holding the gripping device in the locked position.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in the Amendment of March 23, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-33 over Umishara is found not to be persuasive. In particular, applicant's states Ushimaru fails to teach or suggest a knob assembly that includes a gripping device that is coupled to an actuation member by interference of a first engagement surface of an actuation member with an interference surface (protrusion) of the gripping device as set forth in claims 1 and 13. Here, applicant is reminded that claims are given the broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. Specifically, the phrase "by interference of the first engagement surface with the first interference surface" has been held that a product-by-process

Application/Control Number: 10/688,579

Art Unit: 3677

claim(s) is not construed as being limited to the product formed by the specific process recited.

In re Hirao et al. 535 F2d 67, 190 U.S.P.Q. 15 (CCPA 1976).

Moreover, Ushimaru states in column 9, lines 7-20, that the protrusion(s) 26 of the gripping device 25 is coupled to the actuation member 20 by interference (come into collision) of the engagement surface 22a of the first projection 22 pressed against the interference surface 26a of the protrusion of the gripping device. Thus, the Examiner believes the structural limitations, as well as applicant's specific process described above of applicant's claims are anticipated by Ushimaru.

Turning to applicant's remarks that Ushimaru fails to disclose or suggest all of the limitations as set forth in claim 24 is found not to be persuasive. In particular, applicant states Ushimaru fails to disclose or suggest a knob assembly that includes a gripping device coupled to an actuation member by engagement of a first protrusion against a limit stop and engagement of a locking member against the first projection or first protrusion as claimed. Here, again the phrase "by engagement of a first protrusion against a limit stop" has been held as a product-by-process claim(s) and is not construed as being limited to the product formed by the specific process recited. *In re Hirao et al. 535 F2d 67, 190 U.S.P.Q. 15 (CCPA 1976)*.

Moreover, Ushimaru discloses an actuation member 20 having a limit stop 23 and first projection 22; a gripping device 25 having a first protrusion 26; a locking member 21c coupled to the actuation member, wherein the gripping device is coupled to the actuation member by engagement of the first protrusion (sidewall surfaces) against the limit stop (or gaps between wall appendages of corresponding adjacent projections), and engagement of the locking member (locking holes) with the first protrusion at 26a.

Therefore, Ushimaru anticipates the structural limitations, as well as the specific process of applicant's claim 24.

Accordingly, claims 1-33 remain unpatentable over Ushimaru as presently presented.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' L. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7067. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (9:30 am - 6 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,579 Page 6

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

André L. Jackson Patent Examiner AU 3677

ALJ

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER